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The landscape in nephrology changed dramatically in 2010 with the discovery of genetic association between two coding renal-risk variants (RRVs) in the apo L1 gene (APOL1) and CKD (1). The APOL1 G1 and G2 nephropathy-associated variants arose <10,000 years ago and are present almost exclusively in individuals with recent African ancestry, those with similar genetic makeup to populations currently residing in Africa. The frequencies of these variants rapidly increased, likely due to positive selection for protection afforded from Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense infection, a parasite causing African sleeping sickness. Due to the trans-Atlantic slave trade, approximately 39% of African Americans possess one APOL1 RRV and 13% possess two. In contrast, hemoglobin S (HbS) gene variants are also common in African Americans; however, <8% inherit one or two HbS variants.

Ancestry-based variation in APOL1 contributes to the excess risk of ESKD in African Americans, more rapid failure of transplanted kidneys from African American deceased donors, and higher rates of nephropathy in African American living-kidney donors (2,3). The kidney disease historically attributed to hypertension in nondiabetic African Americans with low-level proteinuria also proved to be APOL1 associated, with biopsy findings including solidified glomerulosclerosis and thyroidization-type tubular atrophy (4). In addition, the APOL1 spectrum of kidney disease includes FSGS, HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN), severe lupus nephritis, and sickle cell nephropathy (5). APOL1 RRVs are associated with nephropathy in individuals with recent African ancestry residing in the United States, Africa, Europe, South America, and the Caribbean. Beyond genetic association, APOL1 RRVs were shown to directly cause kidney disease in a transgenic mouse model (6). Recent studies show that APOL1 kidney risk variants do not associate with cardiovascular disease (7,8). Despite the evidence that APOL1 risk variants lead to kidney disease, the precise pathogenic mechanisms are not known. Data suggest a toxic gain of function conferred by RRVs and there is evidence to support that early mitochondrial injury may initiate cell death and scarring (9,10).

These findings are changing clinical practice and informing basic research. This Kidney360 Perspective reviews potential indications for APOL1 genotyping (Table 1). The field is rapidly evolving and developments will likely necessitate more frequent testing in the future. As opposed to diseases resulting from multiple mutations in a single gene that require testing several affected family members (e.g., autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease), APOL1 genotyping is simple, relatively inexpensive, and can be performed in hours. Although APOL1-associated nephropathy is inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion, only a minority with high-risk genotypes (two APOL1 RRVs: G1G1, G2G2, or G1G2) develops CKD in their lifetime. Disease modifiers exist. HIV infection and IFN administration are examples of APOL1-environment interactions leading to kidney disease.

Before ordering APOL1 testing, physicians must counsel patients about privacy concerns and interpretation of results. The Genetic Information and Nondiscrimination Act of 2008 protects privacy and prevents discrimination based on the results of genetic testing in employment and health insurance. To reduce anxiety, individuals should be informed that the majority with APOL1 high-risk genotypes, normal kidney function, and no albuminuria will not develop CKD. Importantly, patients should be advised to reduce exposure to modifiable kidney disease risk factors regardless of their genotype. This includes a heart healthy diet, active lifestyle, and smoking avoidance. Armed with this information, interpretation of APOL1 genotype results should be straightforward for patients and clinicians.

APOL1 genotyping is often performed in the setting of kidney transplantation (2). After living-kidney donation, African Americans develop advanced nephropathy at higher rates than European Americans. Data suggest an important role for APOL1 in this disparity (3). Many transplant programs perform APOL1 genotyping in the evaluation of living-kidney donor candidates with recent African ancestry, particularly younger donors. This is an attempt to minimize future nephropathy by avoiding nephrectomies in currently healthy individuals with high-risk genotypes.

Kidneys transplanted from African American deceased donors fail more rapidly than those from European American deceased donors (2). As a result, African American deceased-donor kidneys are generally perceived to be at higher risk for shortened allograft survival. Decisions on the allocation of deceased-donor kidneys are largely based on the assessment of organ quality using the Kidney Donor...
Table 1. Clinical scenarios in which APOL1 genotyping may be useful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinic Location</th>
<th>Potential Indication</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transplant clinic</td>
<td>Evaluation of living-kidney donor candidates</td>
<td>Await NIH APOLLO Study results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology clinic</td>
<td>Improve compliance in HIV+ patients at risk for HIV-associated nephropathy</td>
<td>Highly active antiretroviral therapy curative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology clinic</td>
<td>Improve compliance in patients with SLE at risk for severe lupus nephritis or ESKD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology clinic</td>
<td>Detect possible nondiabetic nephropathy in individuals with T2D and CKD</td>
<td>In those who have not undergone a diagnostic kidney biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology clinic</td>
<td>Patients with bland nephropathy or FSGS on kidney biopsy</td>
<td>Provides more definitive diagnosis of underlying etiologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine clinic</td>
<td>Prior to IFN administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Screen parents from families with multiple members having ESKD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical research</td>
<td>Test novel therapies for APOL1 nephropathy</td>
<td>APOL1 small molecule inhibitors and antisense oligonucleotides may soon be tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical research</td>
<td>Rapid evaluation of deceased donors before allocation of kidneys for transplantation</td>
<td>Await NIH APOLLO Study results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenarios are limited to individuals with recent African ancestry. NIH, National Institutes of Health; APOL1, APOL1 Long-term Kidney Transplantation Outcomes; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

Risk Index (KDRI). The KDRI analyzes ten factors in deceased donors, including “race/ethnicity.” Retrospective data supports replacement of African American race/ethnicity in the KDRI with APOL1 genotype. Approximately 15% of African American deceased donors in a recent report possessed APOL1 high-risk genotypes and their kidneys were at risk for shorter allograft survival (2). In contrast, 85% of African American deceased donors had APOL1 low-risk genotypes and their kidneys function for similar durations as those from European American donors. APOL1 genotypes, as opposed to nonbiologic classifications of race imposed by society, should be used in clinical decision making. This is important when disease-causing genetic variants are known and distribute unequally across populations. Julian and colleagues (reviewed in reference 2) showed that KDRI scores would markedly improve in approximately 85% of African American deceased-donor kidneys when APOL1 genotypes are considered. This could reduce numbers of discarded kidneys, yield more kidney transplants, improve outcomes, and reduce costs in ESKD. APOL1 genotyping could also improve safety in living-kidney donation from African Americans. These concepts remain controversial in the transplant community. Therefore, they are under study in the National Institutes of Health–sponsored prospective APOL1 Long-term Kidney Transplantation Outcomes (APOLLO) Study (11).

Although APOL1 kidney risk haplotypes are associated with more rapid kidney function decline, variability in the trajectory of decline limits the use of genotyping for individual prediction in the general population (12). Intensive efforts are underway to identify patients with CKD who will have more rapid progression to ESKD or face higher risk for associated complications. This would permit maximization of therapy in high-risk individuals, including prescribing renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade and statins. Many algorithms use artificial intelligence based on variables in the electronic medical record to determine risk; some include APOL1 genotypes and plasma biomarker concentrations (13). Initial results appear promising and validation studies are underway.

There are no evidence-based management protocols for patients diagnosed with APOL1-associated nephropathy at this time. In general, patients should be managed according to guidelines for the pattern of disease found on kidney biopsy. This would include consideration of immunosuppression for biopsies showing FSGS and lupus nephritis, and typical preventative management for patients with CKD. However, the knowledge of APOL1 status informs prognosis and will facilitate engagement in clinical trials when they become available. A recent report (14) described an APOL1 antisense oligonucleotide with potential to be developed as a therapeutic and Vertex Pharmaceuticals issued a press release indicating plans to start clinical development of a small molecule inhibitor of APOL1 (https://investors.vrtx.com). If these novel treatments prove safe and effective, many more patients with and at risk for APOL1-associated nephropathy will likely undergo genotyping.

APOL1 genotypes are important determinants of risk for HIVAN in patients of African ancestry with HIV infection and severe lupus nephritis in patients with SLE (15). Genetic testing provides the opportunity for physicians to discuss whether patients with HIV or SLE who display suboptimal compliance have APOL1 high-risk genotypes. These data could prove useful in attempts to improve compliance. Antiretroviral medication in patients with APOL1 high-risk genotypes and HIV infection prevents HIVAN. Genetic testing could also be useful in patients with recent African ancestry who require IFN therapy. These scenarios demonstrate that APOL1-associated kidney diseases can be prevented by treating modifiable environmental factors. APOL1 genotyping may also prove useful for family planning purposes.

Although CKD progresses more rapidly in individuals who are diabetic and have APOL1 high-risk genotypes, APOL1 RRVs do not associate with diabetic kidney disease (DKD). Without a kidney biopsy, it may be challenging to differentiate APOL1-associated FSGS from DKD in African Americans with proteinuria; both disorders occur more frequently in the African American population. Excluding African Americans with clinically diagnosed diabetic ESKD...
Finally, more widespread APOL1 genotyping would enable patients to have knowledge of the underlying pathogenesis of their disease. The increased risk of ESKD in African Americans is often attributed to diabetes and hypertension; however, we now know that much of this risk relates to APOL1 (5). Although clinician scientists appreciate this at the population level, a diagnosis of APOL1 nephropathy is less often made in patients. A recent study gathering informed input from African Americans through community deliberations found strong support for APOL1 testing in kidney transplantation and patient care. The authors characterized the sentiment of the group as “you are just now telling us about this?”; 64% endorsed offering testing to all African American patients, whereas only 5% saw no personal or clinical benefit (17). The increased awareness that comes with knowledge of risk for APOL1 kidney disease would enable activism, just as many patients with genetic disease have organized to support research and awareness. It also enables patients to know if they could meet eligibility criteria for clinical trials.

Only recently has a role for APOL1 genotyping in common complex kidney disease been appreciated. Screening for APOL1 high-risk genotypes may assist with identifying living-kidney donor candidates at heightened risk for ESKD, counseling patients with HIV infection and SLE to improve compliance, better gauging risk for progressive CKD, and family planning. Ongoing studies will determine whether allocation of deceased-donor kidneys from individuals with recent African ancestry would benefit by including APOL1 genotype and the effectiveness of novel therapies for APOL1 nephropathy. Once effective therapies to prevent progression of APOL1 nephropathy are available, more widespread APOL1 genotyping will likely be indicated. It may then become important to consider testing individuals with CKD who do not report having recent African ancestry. A small percentage may possess unrecognized African ancestry and have APOL1 high-risk genotypes. In contrast, APOL1 RRVs are rare in individuals without kidney disease who report European ancestry. Testing for APOL1 genotypes in these individuals, including deceased donor donors, is unlikely to be cost-effective or alter decision making.
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